

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2022 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2022 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.

- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: MI-510 - Saginaw City & County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: United Way of Saginaw County

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: United Way of Saginaw County

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.1.a.(1), VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.p., and VII.B.1.r.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022:	
	1. select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	No
2.	Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	No
4.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	No	No
7.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Hospital(s)	No	No	No
9.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes	No	No
10.	Law Enforcement	No	No	No
11.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	No	No
13.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Local Jail(s)	No	No	No
15.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	No
22.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	No
23.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
25.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.				
35.				

By selecting "other" you must identify what "other" is.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(2)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our invitation process consists of invitations to join through email, CoC website, and Collaborative Applicant website with a link to CoC services and membership application. We extend information and invitations at community events held by the CoC but attended by non-CoC members.

On our e-mail tag line: "Become a member of the Homeless Consortium Today. Check us out on our website at www.scchap.org. Help Prevent and End Homelessness"
 Website: Home page "Come Join Us" box with link to CoC info, fillable application and contact numbers.

We engage new groups each year for our annual PIT count and encourage membership to continue helping us end homelessness. The PIT has been an excellent member recruitment tool. It provides the opportunity for new community members to experience first-hand families and individuals who are homeless and living in the streets/cars. Several members have joined the CoC to continue helping us with projects and committees after participating in the PIT count.

2. All CoC communications are sent out via email and attachments are in PDF format. All documents uploaded to the CoC's website are in PDF format. The CoC has access to bilingual/American Sign Language translators when needed to assist in communication. The CoC does everything possible to ensure that communication with individuals with disabilities occurs in a manner that is best for that given individual.

3. Our CoC has representation from the Black, Latino, and LGBTQ+ community plus persons with disabilities. Through our recent trainings and work toward a racial equity plan for the State and our County, we understand the importance to further diversify our membership, continuing to invite members and organizations that serve culturally specific communities. One of our board members has lived experience of homelessness. She and many other members have worked to reach out and grow our membership of those with lived experience. We recently held a listening session with people of color to hear about their experiences in housing and homelessness in our community. All who attend that session were invited to participate with the CoC. Each person accepted that invitation.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(3)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and
3.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC has a diverse membership. Our Board consists of 15 individuals with 5 of the members being HUD CoC Program grantees. Board member affiliations range from staff of Michigan Department of Health and Human Services and the City of Saginaw to a case manager from the VA, an individual with lived experience and directors from local runaway, homeless youth, emergency and DV shelters. Together, they bring a wide array of knowledge and expertise on ending homelessness. Within the past few weeks, the CoC has started to hold listening sessions. These sessions started with being aimed at the BIPOC population (currently or recently homeless) to hear about their experience with the homeless response system in our community and to get input on how to improve, where gaps are, and to hear what is working. We plan to continue with these sessions on a quarterly basis, open them up to all people experiencing homelessness, regardless of race. The information gained from these sessions is critical to creating the best homeless response system possible.

2. Members of the CoC sit on a variety of collaborative groups in the county and state. Through these venues, members are solicited and information about the CoC is shared. CoC members bring information gained and ideas relating to ending homelessness back to CoC meetings for further discussion.

3. Information gained through all these avenues is used in a variety of ways. It is discussed at appropriate committee meetings and CoC meetings and can be helpful when CoC staff provides data to City and County governments. Information received and discussed can also be valuable when the CoC participates in the development the City’s consolidated plan, which includes a homeless action plan.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(4)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;	
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;	
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and	
4.	how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. A public notice with an open invitation for anyone to submit projects for consideration was posted on the CoC's website (scchap.org) on August 16, 2022. E-mails referencing the website postings were sent to the full membership and collaborative body on August 15, 2022 & August 24, 2022, and shared with individual networks, including Alignment Saginaw which has over 100 people in the network. All emails and postings were for both funded agencies and those that have not previously received CoC Program funding.
2. Application, submission instructions for new and renewal projects, timelines, scoring criteria and grantee requirements were included in the emails and posted on the website. All application materials are in a Word or .pdf document format. The opening of the competition, application process, and timeline was discussed and approved by the board on August 15, 2022.
3. The CoC has an outcome-based scoring process for scoring/ranking of projects submitted. The Grants Committee looks at service gaps and community need for renewal projects to ensure they continue to meet those needs. If a project does not meet a need/is low performing, it is reallocated to one that does.

New projects are encouraged (however, not required) to meet and coordinate with the defined needs of the CoC and the community to be accepted, meet HUD project thresholds, and are scored for ranking based on agency capacity, track record in serving the homeless, budget, housing performance, mainstream resource and employment assistance, match, fair housing, and housing first program model. The Coc Coordinator and HMIS System Administrator complete the scorecards, based on HMIS data and a variety of other objective factors, and present to the Grants, Housing and Planning Committee. At committee level, projects are accepted and ranked, approved, or rejected. There is an appeal process for all applicants. Accepted projects are included in the Exhibit 1.
4. The CoC makes every effort to communicate with individuals with disabilities by using their preferred method. Information related to the CoC and grant proposals are always in electronic format and received in electronic format. If those with a disability need further assistance, the CoC will provide appropriate accommodations.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
 - 24 CFR part 578;
 - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
 - Section 3 Resources;
 - PHA Crosswalk; and
 - Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
	1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.		
-----	--	--

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds;
2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC and the City developed funding strategies to better meet community needs, and strategies to develop safe, affordable housing stock. In concert with the consultants and Human Planning Bodies, strategies were developed for outreach, emergency, and TH needs, unsheltered and chronically homeless, PSH, RR, RRH, prevention and affordable housing which is included in the City of Saginaw’s Consolidated Plan and Action Plan. This document is the guide for future ESG funding allocations, and how those programs can coordinate existing CoC/ESG homeless programs eliminating duplication.

The CoCs lead agency worked with the CoC, the Michigan State Housing Development Authority and the City for the allocation of ESG-CV funds. Expenses were monitored by the lead agency and submitted to the City for approval. Regular meetings took place to discuss expenditure rates, needs and re-distribution of funds from one agency to another, if needed.

2. The Lead Agency along with the COC regularly monitored performance of ESG Program subrecipients, including project performance and spenddown. The Lead Agency regularly met with COC members, the City (ESG Recipient), and Michigan State Housing Development Authority to review spenddown and adjusted budgets/requests as needed in consultation with the recipients to maximize eligible spending and achieve positive outcomes. The Lead Agency also provided support to subrecipients for CAPER reporting, and ran/reviewed/submitted CAPERs to both the City and Michigan State Housing Development Authority.

3. The Lead Agency provided PIT and HIC data to the City of Saginaw and to Michigan State Housing Development Authority for their Consolidated Plan and Action Plan

4. See answers above.

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting AAQs or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance of service providers.	No
6.	Other. (limit 150 characters)	

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our CoC has an MOU established with the Saginaw Intermediate School District for Head Start and Early Head Start services

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our CoC collaborates with youth and education providers through linkages with the Saginaw County Youth Protection Council (SCYPC), the youth serving entity in our CoC. The SCYPC CEO is a member of the CoC board and sits on the Grants Committee. The SCYPC has held formal contracts with the LEA (Saginaw Intermediate School District-SISD) for over 20 years for Early Head Start. The SCYPC and other CoC shelter programs serving families with children also engages with the Saginaw Intermediate School District for McKinney Vento Homeless Education Coordinator (MVEC) services for school district accommodations and through their quarterly meetings. The SCYPC CEO attends the MVEC meetings. The CoC collaborates with school districts through the CoC shelter programs that serve youth (SCYPC) and families with children (Rescue Ministries of Mid-Michigan and the Mustard Seed). The local school districts refer homeless families and unaccompanied youth to the CoC shelter programs. The school districts are very accommodating when working with homeless youth and shelter staff. For in-person learning shelter staff make arrangements with the school districts for transportation, lunch, books, homework and other educational services that are needed to ensure that children's education is not disrupted by homelessness. School district personnel and shelter staff work closely together to ensure the children and youth succeed in school. Shelters post notice about the rights of parents and children to access McKinney-Vento services and the contact information for the local and state McKinney-Vento Coordinators are listed on the posting.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	Yes
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	No	Yes
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	No
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program—(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	Yes	No
7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	No	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors—Collaborating with Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations who help provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking to:	
1.	update CoC-wide policies; and	
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC works closely with the Underground Railroad, Inc. (URR) who services victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and human slavery in Saginaw County. They have a staff who is also a CoC board member and serves on the Grants Committee and the Governance Committee. The Governance committee is charged with updating bylaws, policies and procedures, MOUs, etc. This means that we have direct input from their organization to ensure that when policies are updated, those who use their services are included.

2. Staff from URR provides mandatory training to all CoC project staff and coordinated intake staff on best practices and protocols for serving survivors and safety planning. The training is provided at full CoC member meetings. Staff at CoC agencies received a Trauma Informed Care training in July 2019 lead by a professor from Saginaw Valley State University. Due to the pandemic and not meeting in person, earlier this year a video training series was made available to all CoC agencies. This was provided through URR on behalf of the Michigan Victims Advocacy Network which is a designated technical assistance provider for DV agencies throughout Michigan. URR has also developed a Trauma Informed Care training for the CoC that includes sessions about trauma informed care, resilience and trauma, the impact of trauma, and best practices in serving survivors in our community. URR conducts an annual New Service Provider training which addresses best practices in serving survivors and invites all CoC member agencies to participate as a refresher.

1C-5a.	Annual Training on Safety and Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:	
1.	project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and	
2.	Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Staff from Underground Railroad, Inc. (URR), the local DV shelter, provides mandatory training annually to all CoC project staff and coordinated intake staff on best practices and protocols for serving survivors and safety planning. The training is provided at full CoC member meetings. URR has provided Human Trafficking and Safety Training in this venue. Staff at CoC agencies received a Trauma Informed Care training in early 2022 via a recorded series provide to URR through the Michigan Victims Advocacy Network, a designated technical assistance provider funded by the State. The training was titled, "Trauma Across the Lifespan". Prior to the pandemic, training was held in person and lead by a professor from Saginaw Valley State University. URR also conducts an annual New Service Provider training which addresses best practices in serving survivors and invites all CoC member agencies to participate as a refresher.
2. The CoC Coordinated Entry policies and procedures provide specific practices in serving survivors, safety planning protocols, emergency transfers and confidentiality. In addition, a staff member from URR attends each Coordinated Entry meeting to ensure the group remains trauma informed.

1C-5b.	Using De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.		
Describe in the field below:		
1.	the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC uses for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and	
2.	how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Underground Railroad, Inc. utilizes the HMIS comparable database, EmpowerDB, to collect all data. Underground Railroad, Inc. is also in collaboration with the Michigan Coalition to End Domestic and Sexual Violence in their search for a brand new HMIS-Comparable and VOCA/AWA/FVPSA Compliant Database that all DV agencies from Michigan will be able to use.
2. Underground Railroad consulted with the CoC to ensure that their HMIS comparable database was equivalent to the HMIS being used by other agencies in the CoC. The agency also sits on the CoC's Continuous Quality Improvement committee where their de identified data is analyzed in conjunction with other agencies in the CoC.

1C-5c.	Communicating Emergency Transfer Plan to Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.		
Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:		
1.	the emergency transfer plan policies and procedures; and	
2.	the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Participants who disclose at any time that they are fleeing from domestic violence, sexual assault, human trafficking, or stalking shall be referred to the domestic violence (DV) agency in our community. The domestic violence agency shall coordinate efforts to determine the threat to the participant and develop a safety plan with the participant. All staff at the DV agency have received training on safety planning, trauma informed care, confidentiality, and voluntary services. The CoC’s emergency transfer plan extends to project participants who have experienced domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

2. The emergency transfer process is communicated by all staff when the participant discloses that they are a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault or staking. The plan considers the safety of its project participants and the ability to request a transfer is available to all participants regardless of sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation. The plan identifies participants who are eligible for an emergency transfer, the documentation needed to request the transfer, confidentiality protections, and guidance on safety and security. Coordinated intake assessments, similar to the VI SPDAT, but used exclusively for DV victims, assists in the prioritization process.

** nbsp;**

1C-5d.	Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC’s geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Program Manager of the local domestic violence shelter, Underground Railroad (URR), sits on the CoC and holds a board position. She is also a member of the Governance Committee, Grants, Planning and Housing Committee and attends all Coordinated Entry meetings. This helps ensure that all DV clients are properly included in all services offered through the CoC and community wide.

URR is a HUD funded agency and covers 100% of the CoCs geographic area.

URR also uses a HMIS comparable database, and de identifiable data is used for the By-Name-List which ensures they are ranked along with all those eligible for placement through the Coordinated Entry process

1C-5e.	Including Safety, Planning, and Confidentiality Protocols in Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC’s coordinated entry includes:

1.	safety protocols,
2.	planning protocols, and
3.	confidentiality protocols.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC maximizes client choice and helps ensure safety by ensuring all households receiving services can choose their own housing so they can pick a neighborhood where they are comfortable. If the client discloses that they have experienced domestic violence the DV agency coordinates efforts to determine the threat to the participant and develop a safety plan with the participant. All staff at the DV agency have received training on safety planning, trauma informed care, confidentiality, and voluntary services.

2. Staff from the DV agency attend the biweekly Coordinated Entry meetings and was also involved in the recent update to our Coordinated Entry process. This ensures planning protocol are kept up to date and follow victim service standards.

3. Participants have the right to confidentiality and information is shared only when authorized by the participant through a release of information or as mandated through the limitations to the confidentiality policy and law. A de identified By-Name-List is managed by the DV agency and included in the biweekly Coordinated Entry meetings.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+--Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training. NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	
-------	---	--

	1. Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
	2. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
	3. Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy--Updating Policies--Assisting Providers--Evaluating Compliance--Addressing Noncompliance. NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	
--------	---	--

Describe in the field below:	
1.	whether your CoC updates its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary, based on stakeholder feedback;
2.	how your CoC assisted providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC does update the anti-discrimination policy, which incorporates the Equal Access Final Rule and Gender Identity Final Rule, as necessary based on stakeholder feedback. Stakeholders include community partners, staff, program participants, and others. See our work mentioned below for an example.

2. A board member and the CoC Coordinator met with the President of PFLAG, Great Lakes Bay Region. PFLAG is a national organization dedicated to supporting, educating, and advocating for LGBTQ+ people and their families. The meeting was held to have an open discussion about how the CoC and shelters can ensure they are doing what is needed to serve the needs of the LGBTQ+ and transgender communities. This helps us ensure that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination. A MOU was also signed as a result of this meeting.

3. Compliance is monitored in a variety of ways. If a client has a dispute or complaint about the administration of a participating CoC agency/program, the client has the right to file a discrimination complaint. Clients who wish to file a housing related complaint regarding any actual or perceived housing discrimination by any CoC agency will be referred to the Michigan Department of Civil Rights, HUD, and our local Fair Housing Center of Legal Services of Eastern Michigan.

The HMIS System Admin and the Continuous Quality Improvement Committee regularly review disaggregated HMIS data to ensure equitable access and outcomes for all subpopulations including by race, ethnicity, and gender. Any concerns of inequitable access or outcomes are brought to the attention of the COC Board, and the appropriate agency to promote equitable access and outcomes for all clients.

Per our Fair Housing and Equal Access Policy, No client who raises a concern or files a fair housing non-discrimination complaint will be retaliated against.

4. If an agency is found in noncompliance with the anti-discrimination policy, the issue would be brought to the board. A corrective action plan would be developed between the board and the agency. If they do not agree to the plan, HUD and/or MSHDA would be asked to step in as the funding source to help resolve the situation. Compliance evaluation would occur on a regular schedule to ensure that no further discrimination acts occurred. HUD and/or MSHDA would also be notified of the issue and the action take to correct the issue.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area--New Admissions--General/Limited Preference--Moving On Strategy.	
NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.		
You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.		
Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the FY 2021 CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with--if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:		

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2021 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	89%	Yes-HCV	Yes

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or	
	2. state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

The Director of the Saginaw Housing Commission actively participates as a member of the CoC and sits on the CoC Board. We continue to be fully engaged in conversations with the Director of the Saginaw Housing Commission about options for adding a homeless preference for their public housing units available in our community. VASH vouchers administered by the PHA do have a homeless preference for veterans, and discussions are ongoing to apply those same preferences to a portion of the general preference vouchers held by the PHA.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	No
2.	PHA	No
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	No
4.	Local low-income housing programs	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process?

	1. Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
	2. Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
	3. Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	No
	4. HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
	5. Mainstream Vouchers	No
	6. Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
	7. Public Housing	No
	8. Other Units from PHAs:	

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

	1. Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
		Program Funding Source
	2. Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	NA

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
--	--	-----

If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.

PHA
Saginaw Housing C...

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Saginaw Housing Commission

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	No
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	No

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition.	13
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	13
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every recipient—that checks Housing First on their Project Application—to determine if they are actually using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of the competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC regularly evaluates projects to ensure a Housing First approach, prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing and not requiring service participation or preconditions of program participants, through the annual CoC competition process, CoC fair housing and coordinated entry policies, and the on-going work on the Grants, Planning and Housing committee. The CoC Coordinator reviews all HUD applications to make sure all projects are meeting the Housing First requirement.

2. Factors and indicators used include, but are not limited to: harm reduction practices, substance use & criminal background history are not a factor in service delivery, history of DV and/or other victimization and choice to participate in services that are offered.

3. The CoC's Coordinated Entry workflow recommends access to appropriate housing based on homeless status and demonstrated need (SPDAT score). Regular discussion occurs with members of the Grants Planning and Housing Coordination committee on Housing First, low barrier housing, fair housing, and equal access to housing.

1D-3.	Street Outreach–Scope.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.j.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;	
	2. whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;	
	3. how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and	
	4. how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC conducts at least an annual street outreach point-in-time count effort to reach unsheltered individuals and families. This year we had 46 volunteers which ensures that we have a far reach and can identify and engage those experiencing unsheltered homelessness in our County. Volunteers all wore the same hunter orange sweatshirt to make them easily identifiable. Our HARA is also a centralized intake point for anyone who calls 2-1-1 requesting assistance.

In addition, the CoC Coordinator will often visit the local soup kitchen where the volunteers and staff put her in contact with those experiencing homelessness or housing issues. Starting in the winter, she will be there at least 2x a month. She can refer people to shelter or appropriate services. The CoC also has a partnership with the Law Enforcement (County/State/City) who will provide shelter information to those experiencing homelessness.

2. 100% of Saginaw County is covered in PIT Count.

3. The PIT count is done on an annual basis. Pre pandemic there were 2 PIT counts conducted each year. We hope to be back to that in 2023.

4. During outreach efforts, staff and volunteers were outfitted with jackets, pull behind carts, socks, gloves, personal protective equipment, and a variety of other items such as hygiene kits to distribute. These "incentives" help us reach those who are least likely to request assistance. Continuing to consistently provide these outreach services helps build the relationship which will lead to a level of trust that will allow for service delivery to be most effective.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:

		Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	No
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	No
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	No
4.	Implemented community wide plans	No	No
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.I.	

		2021	2022
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC—only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of “Current.”	43	118

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits—CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC’s geographic area:

	Resource	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI—Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	TANF—Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
4.	Substance Abuse Programs	Yes
5.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
6.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

- systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC’s geographic area;
- works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and
- works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC provides information and training to CoC Program funded projects on mainstream benefits through CoC Board and General Membership meetings. CoC board members include representatives from the Saginaw County Department of Health and Human Services and Legal Services of Eastern Michigan (the representative is also the chair of the CoC Governance Committee). These Board members are very knowledgeable about changes to food stamps, SSI, TANF, fair housing, and other mainstream benefits. Information is shared during “member updates” or when significant new information is available, they have a place on the agenda for updates

2. Staff within the shelter settings all have access to referral process for healthcare, including substance abuse and mental health treatment. Saginaw County Community Mental Health Authority maintains a crisis line that is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day. Great Lakes Health Health Centers are open in our area for in-person visits and tele health visits. The United Way of Saginaw County, the HARA, is actively involved in, and a sponsor of Be Well. Be Well is a community-wide initiative that focuses on maternal-infant support, mental health & substance abuse, and obesity & chronic disease. Services offered within the three focus groups are available to all community members including those within our shelter system. Information will be sent to the shelters and all CoC member agencies to advertise, distribute and make appropriate referrals.

3. The Underground Railroad, our local DV shelter, has three advocates trained in SOAR. SOAR is offered to all survivors, when appropriate, during completion of a service plan. In addition, Saginaw County Community Mental Health Authority (SCCMHA) has SOAR trained staff and offers SOAR service during weekly outreach to local shelter. All SCCMHA consumers are also offered SOAR services.

1D-7.	Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

(limit 2,500 characters)

City Rescue Mission, whose Director is the current Chairperson for our CoC, recently added 2 family rooms. This increased capacity and allows families to remain together. They are currently working with construction contractors to add 4-5 more family rooms. In addition, they are in the process of requesting funds through a state funded opportunity which would add another 20-25 beds, if awarded.

The Mustard Seed Shelter completed an addition in July of 2020. This addition updated the entire facility and added 14 new beds.

Both shelters mentioned are non-congregate shelters.

ID-8.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:	
1.	develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and	
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Shelters and the HARA worked with state and local public health agencies including County Emergency Manager agencies to develop policies regarding testing, isolation/quarantine procedures and best practices of addressing the importance of vaccinations.

2. The shelters within the CoC have collaborated with state and local public health agencies in a variety of different ways. RCO Shelter, whose Director is the chair of the Grants, Planning & Housing Committee, has the local health department in once a week and all staff and residents are tested. City Rescue and the Youth Protection Council were added to the State of Michigan’s Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendment (CLIA) Waiver and allowed to order COVID testing kits and conduct COVID screenings for those within their programs and all agency staff. All staff assigned with testing responsibilities were required to participate in training to safely conduct the testing and also trained in how to dispose of the testing materials. These proactive actions help prevent the spread by identifying those who test positive as soon as possible and being able to isolate as to not spread the virus.

In addition, the CoC prioritized COVID funding for shelter improvements that would prevent, prepare, and respond to COVID and other infectious diseases. The CoC worked with public health to make sure the improvements were the best choice to help prevent outbreaks. CoC shelters used COVID funding for the following improvements: PPE, air purifiers, replacing carpeting with flooring that can be efficiently cleaned, purchasing washers and dryers, and other items that prevent, prepare, and respond to COVID.

ID-8a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively equipped providers to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants by:	
1.	sharing information related to public health measures and homelessness, and	
2.	facilitating communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC held a weekly COVID19 call. During this call, information was shared between agencies, updates on cases, vaccination efforts, isolations needs, etc were shared.

2. There were also weekly, "Community Conversation" calls that were coordinated through Alignment Saginaw, a committee of the Saginaw Community Foundation. During these calls, local agencies, the public health department, the Michigan Health Improvement Alliance would discuss COVID updates, trends in the community, PPE needs and the facilitation of redistribution/sharing of PPE took place.

Additionally, the CoC worked closely with the Emergency Operations Manager to secure a lease (in kind) of a facility owned by the Catholic Diocese. This facility was set up with individual rooms and attached bathrooms to be used as an isolation facility in the case existing isolation areas in the shelters were full.

1D-9.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System–Assessment Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:

1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC's geographic area;
2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and
3.	is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The HARA and shelters provide services to 100% of the CoCs geographic area

2. Following an initial triage to determine literally homeless or fleeing DV and/of family violence, a standardized assessment, the VI-SPDAT (or comparable version for the DV agency) is completed.

3. Our Coordinated Entry process underwent an update within the past few months. A new priority listing was developed which is used to determine who, on the By-Name-List, receives priority. Continued updates will be completed as needed. We will use feedback from agencies, participating projects, and households that participated in the coordinated entry process to ensure equity throughout programs, efficiency and promptness of housing those in need.

1D-9a.	Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:

1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;

	3. ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and
	4. takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC has an established Coordinated Entry policy that prioritizes assistance based on vulnerability and severity of service needs and ensures the clients who need that assistance receive it in a timely manner without discrimination.

2. The CoC recently revamped parts of the Coordinated Entry process including an updated prioritization chart. This new chart prioritizes those with the highest needs to the top of the list to be first to receive assistance. The HMIS Administrator prioritizes those on the By-Name-List biweekly to coincide with the Coordinated Entry Meetings.

3. We ensure those most in need of assistance are served in a timely manner by tracking the date of initial contact, dates of intake and assessment, and dates the SPDAT or other intake assessment was completed. All participants have final say when it comes to accepting housing/programs that are offered.

4. The HARA and case managers throughout the service area remain in contact with those using coordinated entry. We all do our best to lessen any burden that may come along with using coordinated entry. We do our best to have all communication flow through one case manager who knows the participants history and current situation. This prevents duplicate, intrusive questions and keeps the process as simple as possible.

1D-10.	Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	09/06/2022

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities—Identifying Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	your CoC’s process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and
2.	what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC is engaged in a statewide initiative to increase race equity across the homeless response system with C4 Innovations, a national consulting firm that is committed to helping communities dismantle systemic & structural racism across housing and behavioral health systems. Through this initiative, our CoC has established a CoC Equity Results Team that has been collecting data for our most recent racial disparities assessment. The data collection process has included conducting listening sessions with people of color who have lived experience of homelessness within our CoC as well as extensive quantitative data collection based on the following criteria:

- * Comparison of Race & Ethnicity Rates in Census (ACS) data, poverty rate data and 2021 PIT Count data
- * Length of Time Homeless Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity
- * Number of Persons who Experience Homelessness Once or Multiple Episodes of Homelessness disaggregated by race and ethnicity
- * Exit Destinations Disaggregated by Race & Ethnicity
- * Returns to Homelessness disaggregated by Race & Ethnicity
- * All Households in Coordinated Entry Prioritization Data by Race & Ethnicity

2. Disparities:

* People of color are overrepresented in the homeless population compared to their representation in the general population. Black/African Americans make up 54% of the homeless population in our annualized HMIS counts but only about 19% of our general population according to the Census.

* Households identifying as Native American/Alaskan are 1.6 times more likely to be accounted for in the annualized HMIS count as experiencing homelessness when compared to the rate of this group in the general population.

* In FY19, individuals identifying as Black or African American represented an average of 59% of all returns, across all three return time periods (< 6 months, 6-12 months, and 13-24 months). This overall average increased slightly to 61% in FY 2020 and increased again to 67% in FY21.

* Across all three years, Black/African American households were prioritized for RRH at an avg rate of 67%, white households were prioritized for RRH at a rate of 26%, and on avg, Other or Multiracial households were prioritized at a rate of 6%. Comparing these rates to the rates of prioritization for PSH, Black or African American households were more likely to be prioritized for RRH (67%) than for PSH (52%). Conversely, white households were more likely to be prioritized for PSH (39%) than for RRH (26%).

1D-10b.	Strategies to Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	Yes
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	No
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes
5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	Yes
7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes
8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	Yes
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-10c.	Actions Taken to Address Known Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC and homeless providers have taken to address disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our work with C4 Innovations started early this year as mentioned in question 1D-10a. They are partnering with us to to help us explore this data in a meaningful way. They will also help us look at ways to address this data in the most effective manner. We are also working on a system mapping project. This will help our community understand how homelessness is being addressed within our community. It will provide a comprehensive view of existing resources and services and show where we have significant gaps for specific populations. This information will be invaluable when it comes to address disparities in the provision of assistance.

1D-10d.	Tracking Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Progress in tracking the elimination and prevention of disparities will occur on a quarterly basis. The CoC Racial Equity Analysis Tool is used. Reports will be pulled each quarter which will be compared to previous reports and will show progress that is being made. The Coordinated Entry Team will initiate the report process and the analyze the reports.

Summary reports will be provided to the CoC on a quarterly basis to the full general membership.

1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking–CoC’s Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below your CoC’s outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The most recent example is a listening session that was held with people of color who were currently homeless or had lived experience. At this session we asked a variety of questions about their experience in the homeless system. During the session we also explained who the CoC is and what it does. We talked about the PIT count and the need for volunteers. All participants’ in attendance left contact information and expressed interest in both the CoC and the PIT count. We plan to have a welcome session and explain the details of the CoC and PIT more in depth and list opportunities that are available.

We do have a website that advertise the need for those with lived experience to join the CoC.

Targeted outreach will also be done through the outreach sessions that are and will continue to be held at the soup kitchen. This is a welcoming facility that has built great relationships with those in the community who are experiencing a variety of difficulties, especially those that are most unlikely to seek out services.

In addition to the outreach efforts listed above, Saginaw County Community Mental Health Authority and RCO Shelter also have outreach teams that are aware of leadership roles and decision making opportunities that are available.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the five categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included and provide input that is incorporated in the local planning process.	1	0
2.	Review and recommend revisions to local policies addressing homelessness related to coordinated entry, services, and housing.	1	0
3.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	1	0
4.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	1	0
5.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	1	0

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

In our County we have a total of 5 shelters (4 emergency and 1 domestic violence). Not all are HUD funded. Between these 5 shelters, there is a total of 28 people with lived experience who are employed. Each shelter also has at least 1 person on their board of directors who had lived experience of homelessness and the domestic violence shelter also has a board member who is a survivor of domestic violence.

Of the 28 who are employed in shelter, 67% are working in the same shelter were they received service.

The CoC also has a MOU with MiWorks to provide training training and job search assistance to those in shelter or at risk of homelessness. One great success of this MOU just occurred this week. A young adult who worked with the Saginaw County Youth Protection Council was placed in an internship with the Saginaw Police Department which is going to lead to a full time, paid position at the rate of \$15/hour!

1D-11c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	how your CoC routinely gathered feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG program on their experience receiving assistance; and
2.	the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. All of the shelters in our area do a form of exit interview or survey for those who are leaving shelter. There are satisfaction aspects, connect to aftercare information, and exit planning information within these surveys/interviews. Many of the facilities also hold house meetings where feedback is gathered. These meetings are helpful for feedback because conversation helps work through issues, addresses resident concerns and can help with resolution and brings up ideas and suggestions that shelter staff may have not thought of at that point.

Through our listening sessions, feedback regarding processes, policies and programming from those who have left shelter are gathered. It's very helpful once they've been through a program or through coordinated entry to see what they thought and how things seemed from their perspective.

2. Feedback gained is discussed at the Grants, Planning and Housing Committee. Committee members and interns from Saginaw Valley State University will discuss what changes should be made, what seems to be working well, and what services need to be added, or stopped, if they are not meeting the intended need.

1D-12.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply. NOFO Section VII.B.1.t.	
Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months that engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:		
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and	
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

The SCCHAP board has been working towards reducing regulatory barriers by engaging with the City of Saginaw and City of Saginaw attorneys in negotiations to continue to enforce the Source of Income Anti-Discrimination in Housing Ordinance. The ordinance is in effect and we are continuing to improve the law and make the law more enforceable. This will help protect those in our community and to expand access to housing using financial assistance sources such as a HCV.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a. and 2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for project applicants to submit their applications to your CoC's local competition.	08/16/2022
--	---	------------

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:	

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below. NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	
--------	---	--

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
 Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	241
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	14
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process. NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.	
--------	--	--

Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC collected and analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Submitted projects are scored based on objective metrics that include financial, housing and service performance, and HMIS data quality metrics.
2. Data on how long it takes to house people in permanent housing was taken directly from HMIS data.
3. Projects are ranked from highest to lowest regardless of the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of population served. All projects are scored on the same metrics but each project type has an adjusted scale that makes it easier for TH and SH projects to earn points and more difficult for RRH and PSH projects to earn points. With that being said, the only population where severity of needs and vulnerabilities is considered in the CoC's scoring metrics are the severely mentally ill and chronically homeless.
4. The CoC weights factors like permanent housing, PSH retention, and low recidivism from RRH & PSH more heavily in the rankings.

1E-3.	Promoting Racial Equity in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process. NOFO Section VII.B.2.e.	
-------	---	--

Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC obtained input and included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population;

2.	how the input from persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, affected how your CoC determined the rating factors used to review project applications;
3.	how your CoC included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in the review, selection, and ranking process; and
4.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC strives to have a diverse membership, recruiting members with strong community connections that further our racial equity work and goals. The CoC Grants Committee is charged with making recommendations to the CoC Board on the CoC competition documents, and scoring criteria based on HUD guidelines to be used for the HUD NOFO competition.
2. Ratings factors and scorecards were presented to the CoC Board by the Grants, Planning and Housing Committee for approval. The Grants Committee has 8 members; six are Caucasian and two are African American.
3. CoC projects are ranked based on the scoring criteria, and thus the Grants Committee members also are involved in making recommendations on the project ranking process. The committee reviews the scoring, and it is then presented to the Board. The CoC Board approves the local CoC competition documents: intent to apply, application, scoring, and ranking.
4. This year, ranking did not take into consideration barriers to participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities. We are working closely with C4 Innovations, a national consulting firm that is committed to helping communities dismantle systemic and structural racism across housing and behavioral health system. We have been collecting data and are working on analyzing the data along with identifying significant gaps in services for specific populations of people. Next year we expect this will be incorporated into our ranking process.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC’s reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
2.	whether your CoC identified any projects through this process during your local competition this year;	
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and	
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC's Grant Reallocation Policy (505) was accepted by the CoC on January 10th, 2017 and is currently being reviewed by the Governance Committee. The policy was developed in a manner to ensure the CoC has the ability to make outcome-based, data driven decisions on its project stock as HUD priorities and community needs change over time. All renewal applications are evaluated for project specific outcomes and their contribution to the CoC's efforts to end homelessness: HMIS outcome data (housing performance, mainstream resource and employment obtainment/change, data quality/timeliness), financial performance (housing to service budget and recaptured dollars), and the population served.
2. We did not identify any projects for reallocation this year.
3. We did not reallocate any projects this year.
4. We did not feel that any renewals this year were considered less needed or low performing.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2017 and FY 2022.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2017 and FY 2022?	No
--	--	----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)?	No
2.	Did your CoC inform applicants why their projects were rejected or reduced?	
3.	If you selected Yes for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/14/2022
--	--	------------

	1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results–Scores for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Final Project Scores for All Projects attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Applicant Names; 2. Project Names; 3. Project Scores; 4. Project Rank–if accepted; 5. Award amounts; and 6. Projects accepted or rejected status.	Yes
--	--	-----

	1E-5c. 1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC’s website or partner’s website–which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	
--	--	--

You must enter a date in question 1E-5c.

	1E-5d. Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application has been posted on the CoC’s website or partner’s website.	
--	---	--

You must enter a date in question 1E-5d.

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Wellsky, Bowman Systems, ServicePoint
--	--	---------------------------------------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC’s HMIS coverage area.	Statewide
--	--	-----------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 HIC data into HDX.	04/19/2022
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Database for DV Providers—CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.	

In the field below:

1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in databases that meet HUD’s comparable database requirements; and
----	---

2. state whether your CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The one Domestic Violence shelter in our CoC uses EmpowerDB, an HMIS comparable database. Underground Railroad, Inc. is also in collaboration with the Michigan Coalition to End Domestic and Sexual Violence in their search for a brand new HMIS-Comparable and VOCA/VAWA/FVPSA Compliant Database that all DV agencies from Michigan will be able to use.

When requested, the DV provider will submit deidentified aggregate data to the COC HMIS system admin. The DV shelter takes part in the Coordinated Entry system for housing and homeless resources. The DV shelter staff provide de-identified data so that clients are being prioritized for housing services within the COC as they are on the By Name List for the area. De-identified data from the DV population is being counted in the overall COC system performance measures.

2. Our CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c. and VII.B.7.	

Enter 2022 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Total Beds 2022 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	264	36	228	100.00%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	6	0	6	100.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	99	72	27	100.00%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	118	58	60	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing	285	15	231	85.56%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH)	39	0	0	0.00%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

	1. steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
	2. how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

OPH is Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) that were used in our community but not tracked through HMIS. 100% of the vouchers have been filled. These are a one time voucher and we do not expect to receive them again.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	

Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 15, 2022, 8 p.m. EST?	Yes
--	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2022 PIT count.	02/23/2022
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 PIT count data in HDX.	04/19/2022
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b.	

Describe in the field below how during the planning process for the 2022 PIT count your CoC:

1.	engaged stakeholders that serve homeless youth;
2.	involved homeless youth in the actual count; and
3.	worked with stakeholders to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our local Homeless Youth services agency (Saginaw County Youth Protection Council) has multiple staff who take part in the PIT Count. (This agency provides Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing, and Rapid Re-housing.) Survival kits aimed at youth and young adults are left with local business/stakeholders where homeless youth tend to gather to be distributed as needed.
2. Homeless youth served by the agency were asked if they knew any area where other homeless youth may be residing.
3. PIT volunteers reached out to local restaurants, gas stations, grocery stores, police, libraries, schools, churches, etc... asking if there were any other locations where homeless youth may be residing to help identify any on PIT night.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.a and VII.B.7.c.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable;	
	2. describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable; and	
	3. describe how the changes affected your CoC’s PIT count results; or	
	4. state “Not Applicable” if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2022.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. HMIS System Administrator recorded training videos on PIT Count that were sent to all staff at each participating agency. HMIS System Administrator reviewed Emergency Shelter rosters with shelter managers from night of PIT, and was provided with shelter sign-in sheets on PIT night to ensure all clients were counted only once.
2. Not Applicable – Did not conduct unsheltered PIT count in 2021
3. Not Applicable – Did not conduct unsheltered PIT count in 2021
4. Not Applicable – Did not conduct unsheltered PIT count in 2021

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC’s strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The VI-SPDAT is completed at intake and used by all agencies in the CoC. This tool, in conjunction with HMIS data elements regarding a client's homeless history and CoC-wide HMIS data sharing are used to identify risk factors and first time homeless persons.

2. Shelter diversion is attempted at the time the client presents through a brief assessment to determine the level of need. If successful, case manager(s) follow up with the client to develop a housing plan and budget to assist them in reaching independent housing stability. Referral to mainstream providers for additional support services appropriate for overcoming their barriers/risks based on VI-SPDAT score is also made. The HARA provides homeless prevention assistance through City and MSHDA ESG funds. Homeless prevention is the CoC's first goal. HARA Housing Specialist staff work in the shelters and process referral intakes over the phone. They meet with clients for which shelter diversion was not appropriate and sign them up for Housing Choice Vouchers and screen them for ESG RRH short term assistance. Those that qualify are housed through the ESG RRH program. The case manager and client develop a housing plan and budget. The client is provided with follow up services to ensure they will be able to maintain stability post assistance. Plans are adjusted and other mainstream supports are put in place to see the client through to housing stability. If barriers to education are identified, a referral to the McKinney-Vento Coordinator is made. For higher need clients other housing options are explored (TH, PSH) and they are prioritized based on severity of risks/need through our ISTs.

3. The CoC's Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) Committee is responsible for evaluating the CoC's progress on this measure.

2C-2.	Length of Time Homeless—CoC's Strategy to Reduce.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.c.	

In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Housing first and low/no barrier to program philosophies have been adopted by all CoC member agencies. The CoC's Coordinated Entry Process, along with the VISPDAT, allows us to ensure assistance and resources are allocated effectively and easily accessible. Our housing matrix is used to accurately match needs to housing and resources. VI-SPDAT used as coordinated intake tool across the CoC. Helps determine strengths, risk, and barriers to housing.

2. CoC-wide HMIS data sharing assists in determining client length of time homeless (LOTH). Both assist in service prioritization and quick transitions to PH. Interagency Service Teams meet biweekly, using by-name lists to review client needs/risks, frequency and LOTH. Clients are prioritized based on risk factors, including LOTH, and appropriate housing is identified. HARA Housing Specialist staff are at the shelters weekly and process intakes over the phone to enroll eligible clients onto the HCV waitlist and screen for ESG RRH funds. Referrals to other appropriate housing solutions for the hardest to serve are made. Work closely with the local Landlord Association to house clients with the most severe barriers. Case Managers from VA visits shelters daily, along with the SSVF Coordinator, to assist homeless veterans transition to PH quickly through SSVF RRH and VASH vouchers. SSVF Prevention utilized to prevent veteran homelessness from occurring. Homeless Outreach Worker and Veteran's specialist from Mental Health visits shelters, soup kitchens, libraries, and other locations to bring folks with mental health issues and/or other disabilities into PSH. LOTH is tracked through HMIS and our CQI program. Numbers are reported quarterly by agency and collectively as a CoC.

3. The Grants, Planning and Housing Coordination committee oversees strategies to reduce the LOTH in our CoC.

2C-3.	Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.d.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;	
	2. describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and	
	3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Increase PH Exits:

Fair Housing/Housing First Approach is used by all CoC members. Shelter, HARA, outreach staffs use VI-SPDAT to determine acuity, risks, barriers and housing needs, and to make appropriate housing referrals. VI-SPDAT and Coordinated Entry Process (CEP) are used to prioritize clients with highest acuity first and referred to our CH, Veterans, and Families with children Interagency Service Teams (IST). CEP allows us to quickly assist clients w/ services and housing. Every shelter is a Coordinated ACCESS Point (CAP) with trained staff to process intake, assess/prioritize clients, and refer to appropriate IST or housing option. Outreach staff visit shelters, soup kitchens, and other locations and can refer to the appropriate CAP. VA Case Managers visit shelters with VA beds daily to evaluate veterans for services, PH placement, and follow up. CoC has advocated for increased ESG dollars for from City of Saginaw and has received ESG bonus dollars for RRH from MSHDA ESG.

2. Retain PH:

Clients are assisted with employment/mainstream services to obtain an income level that allows client to be self supporting. Individual housing plans are created with the client(s). Increased case management visits occur once the client(s) is in PH. The CoC has added new PSH, RRH, and RRY projects to our complement through reallocation of TH and SSO projects to increase subsidized PH destinations. The CQI committee is charged with monitoring these outcomes through our CQI Program. The Grants, Planning, and Housing Coordination committee is charged with planning/reviewing new projects to CoC performance on these outcomes.

3. The Grants, Housing and Planning Committee will oversee these tasks.

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy to Reduce Rate.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.e.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;	
	2. describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and	
	3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Common factors of individuals and families who return to homelessness are identified through our VI-SPDAT used at intake and through CoC-wide HMIS data sharing. As a result of a system wide data sharing agreement, HMIS participating agencies are able to see a client's history if they have been served by another HMIS participating agency. The factors we have identified are:

- History of high utilization of crisis services (emergency rooms, jails, psychiatric facilities, etc)
- Prior homeless history, including length of time homeless, as verified through HMIS data
- Substance abuse disorder -Criminal record
- Victims of Domestic Violence
- LGBTQ
- Chronic health, behavioral or mental health disabilities or functional impairments requiring significant levels of support
- Families with children
- Runaway and high risk youth
- No income

2. Strategies implemented: ESG funded Homeless Prevention projects are used as shelter diversion and to assist clients with rent and utility arrearages. Clients are supported by our Interagency Services Teams using by-name lists and prioritized by highest acuity and rehoused. Case Management is increased through longer term follow up to determine changes that can be made to result in a higher success rate. Additional or increased supports are put in place to overcome barriers. Clients are connected to mental health services, mainstream benefits, educational opportunities to increase employment skills, parenting classes, substance abuse treatment, crisis counseling, and life skill classes. Housing plans are reviewed and adjusted to better reflect the client's ability to reach goals. Our PSH and HUD RRH projects have increased for additional subsidized housing availability.

3. The Returns to homelessness metric is monitored by our CQI and Grants, Planning, and Housing committees

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;	
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their cash income; and	
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Agencies within the CoC provide life skills/job readiness, including resume building, training at all shelters. The CoC has a partnership with Legal Services of Eastern Michigan/Courts to expunge client records that may prevent employment. Agencies assist clients to obtain their GED by helping them pay for the classes/test through MiWorks. Agencies provide transportation to/from work, school, and GED classes. Bus passes are gained through the local transit authority. Childcare is provided for parents who are working or going to school. Onsite job training is provided at shelters (Classes for CNA, food service, office skills/receptionist, janitorial). Partnerships exist with union locals for access to on the job, skilled trades training (construction, Painters/Allied Trades). Partnerships exist with employers through Learn to Earn programs (McDonalds, Morley, retail, Nexteer). Our clients are eligible for the MIWorks Preferred Applicant Program - Michigan Works matches eligible clients with job opportunities. The CQI and Grants, Planning, and Housing Coordination committees oversees our progress in increasing both the number of clients who obtain and increase their employment income.

2. The CoC has executed MOA's with DHHS for all shelters to serve as MI Bridges Navigation Partners assisting client with application for cash, food, health insurance, WIC, and TANF assistance. Underground Railroad and Saginaw County Community Health Authority have increased their SOAR trained staff that assist eligible homeless clients at all shelters with accessing SSI/SSDI benefits. Case managers from the VA visit shelters weekly and assist clients with accessing benefits that they may be eligible for. The CoC, in accordance with Michigan state law, provides an HMIS ID card to homeless persons residing in projects so that they can take that along with other documentation to the Secretary of State to obtain a state ID that is needed to gain access to other documents (SSN Card) that are needed for benefit qualification (SSI/SSDI).

3. Grants, Housing and Planning Committee will over this work and the CQI Committee will monitor the metrics.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
	2. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC has a signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Great Lakes Bay Michigan Works! (MIWorks). The program to support the job training and employment readiness skills of homeless youth ages 18-24. CoC member agencies will provide eligible youth with information on the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act Program and MIWorks will provide Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act services to eligible youth. The CoC is also pursuing a MOU with the Saginaw County Community Mental Health Authority (SCCMHA) Supported Employment (SE) Program to provide prioritized access to eligible clients of Permanent Supportive Housing projects. SCCMHA and SE have expressed interest in entering into an arrangement with the CoC. The SE program at SCCMHA has undergone recent leadership changes and the new leadership are committed to understanding the housing arena (which they are new to) prior to entering into an MOU. The SE program would provide job search, job coaching, job preparation (practice interviewing and filling out applications), and transportation to interviews and job sites. This is a best practice program.

2. The CQI and Grants, Planning, and Housing Coordination committees oversees our progress in increasing both the number of clients who obtain and increase their non-employment cash income

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No
--	--	----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.6.a. and VII.B.6.b.	
	If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.	

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
This list contains no items			

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NA

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NA

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	No
Applicant Name		
This list contains no items		

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete. |
| 2. | You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'. |
| 3. | We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube. |
| 4. | Attachments must match the questions they are associated with. |
| 5. | Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. |
| 6. | If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either. |
| | . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time). |
| | . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment. |
| 7. | After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include. |

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	09/20/2022
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No		
1E-1. Local Competition Deadline	Yes	Local Competition...	09/20/2022
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Scoring Tools	09/21/2022
1E-2a. Scored Renewal Project Application	Yes	Scored Project	09/20/2022
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Projects rejected...	09/21/2022
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of p...	09/21/2022
1E-5b. Final Project Scores for All Projects	Yes	Final Project Scores	09/21/2022
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No		

3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No		
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Deadline

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scoring Tools

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Projects rejected reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of projects accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Final Project Scores

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/15/2022
1B. Inclusive Structure	09/23/2022
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/26/2022
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	09/26/2022
1E. Project Review/Ranking	Please Complete
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/23/2022
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	09/23/2022
2C. System Performance	09/23/2022
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	09/19/2022
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	09/19/2022
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	09/19/2022

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	09/19/2022
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

MI-510

Saginaw CoC

1C-7

PHA Homeless Preference

The purpose of the Homeless Preference for MSHDA's Housing Choice Vouchers (HCVs) is to better ensure that critical, long-term housing subsidies are directed to those experiencing homelessness. It is incorporated as part of MSHDA's Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) program so that each community has the ability to add eligible households to HCV waitlist under this preference, utilizing it as another tool to help end homelessness.

Under MSHDA ESG funding, each Housing Assessment Resource Agency (HARA) is responsible for the addition of homeless households to HCV waitlists via Homeless Preference. The HCV waitlists are held by county, which means that some Continuums of Care (CoCs) or Local Planning Bodies (LPBs) may have multiple waitlists within the geographic coverage area. The ability to add households to the HCV waitlist is secured via completion of the Key Person Security Agreement (MSHDA Form 1796c). Only HARAs are permitted to request Key Person Security Agreements, unless otherwise requested and approved by MSHDA.

Questions or concerns? Email mshda-hs@michigan.gov.

WHO QUALIFIES FOR THE HOMELESS PREFERENCE WAITLIST?

- Category 1: Literally Homeless
- Category 4: Fleeing/Attempting to Flee Domestic Violence
- Temporary shelter with another household
 - This is only permitted when a community does not have a homeless shelter available to assist the general population.
 - Annual requests for approval must be made by the CoC or LPB with the ESG Exhibit 1 and submitted to MSHDA for final approval.

Applicants who are enrolled in housing assistance programs maintain their homeless status provided that:

- Assistance is term limited and does not exceed 24 months. Examples include but are not limited to Rapid Re-Housing, Tenant Based Rental Assistance, Transitional Housing, Rehabilitation or Substance Use Disorder Facility, etc.
- Applicant was qualified as category 1, 4, or, in qualified communities only, temporarily sheltered with another household *prior to entry*.

WHO DOES NOT QUALIFY FOR THE HOMELESS PREFERENCE WAITLIST?

Including, but not limited to, any individual or family:

- Living in Permanent Supportive Housing, Shelter Plus Care, or Project Based Vouchers
- Living in subsidized rental units and the subsidy does not have a specified end date
- Living in a shelter, shelter-type facility, home, etc. that has *not* been designated to provide temporary living arrangements
- Living in a unit through the Offender Success program
- Who is at risk or imminent risk of homelessness, excluding category 4
- Who is stably or permanently housed
- Who is paying for their current shelter/housing on their own (ex. Paying for own hotel stay)

REQUIRED HOMELESS PREFERENCE WAITLIST DOCUMENTATION

For all applicants, the following 3 items of documentation must be obtained **prior** to filing the HCV Homeless Preference Waitlist Application:

1. *Consent for the Release of Confidential Information for the HCV Homeless Preference*

The Consent for the Release of Confidential Information for the HCV Homeless Preference must be signed and dated prior to filing the application. This document is valid for 12 months from the date of applicant's signature. This document will also need the HMIS number of the applicant, unless it is a DV or Fleeing DV applicant.

This consent is unique to the Homeless Preference waitlist. General agency, MSHDA, or HMIS releases of information will not suffice for the purposes of this release of information and cannot take the place of this release of information.

2. Proof of Residency in the County of Application

HARA staff must verify that the head of household, spouse, or co-head is living or working in the county for which they are applying. This verification can be documented as outlined below.

Proof of Residency can be in the form of Proof of Homelessness. Both items are addressed in this documentation:

- Letter from a shelter provider for shelter verification
- Letter written by HARA staff, outreach staff, or another housing or service provider that indicates residency. This includes but is not limited to one of the following:
 - Observation details regarding the applicant's primary nighttime residence
 - Verification of an applicant's participation in a housing assistance program
 - Verification of temporary shelter with another household with the host household

If, for any reason, the following above items are not utilized for proof of homelessness, a valid copy of one of the following provides proof that the head of household, spouse, or co-head currently lives in the County:

- Driver's license, State ID card, Medicaid card, Social Security printout letter, or voter's registration card
- If the applicant is not residing in his/her resident county, HARA staff must explain why the applicant is currently staying in one county but applying for assistance in another

A valid copy of one of the following provides proof that the head of household, spouse, or co-head currently works in the County:

- A letter from the employer stating the applicant is employed in the County
- A letter from the employer stating the applicant will be employed in the County. A start date for employment must be within 60 calendar days of the date of application.
- A copy of a valid paycheck stub with the employer's address showing the business is in the County. The paycheck stub must be dated within 60 calendar days of the date of application.

3. Proof of Homelessness

HARAs can secure proof of homelessness in a number of ways. The general order of priority for obtaining evidence is: third-party documentation first, intake worker observations second, and certification by the individual or family seeking assistance third.

Proof of homelessness documentation must be created and dated the same day as the homeless verification date. Proof of homelessness can be documented in one of the following ways:

- Homeless verification date (the date that the household's homelessness was observed or verified);
AND
- Details regarding the applicant's primary nighttime residence on the homeless verification date.

Proof of homelessness documentation must be created and dated the same day as the homeless verification date. Proof of homelessness can be documented in one of the following ways:

- Agency letterhead with signature and printed name of the verifier; **OR**
- Email from an agency email address embedded with the verifiers name, contact information, and agency email signature (see example on next page),* **OR**
- Self-certification of homelessness completed by the household (see [MSHDA ESG Self-Certification form](#)).

Agency Email Example:

Not Approved	Approved
<p>Thanks,</p> <p>John</p> <p>ABC Agency</p>	<p>Thanks,</p> <p>John Doe, Position Title ABC Agency Name and/or Logo Phone Number</p>

**Please note: The date the email is sent must match the homeless verification date. Emails should be saved electronically and/or printed for the physical client file.*

Applications to the HCV Homeless Preference waitlist must be filed within five (5) business days from the homeless verification date.

Proof of homelessness for the applicant’s primary nighttime residence at the time of application is required for the Homeless Preference waitlist. This proof is only required for the date observed or verified. If the applicant has a history of homelessness, it is not necessary to supply or include the entire history.

Category 1: Literally Homeless
<p>Individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence, meaning:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Place Not Meant for Human Habitation A public or private place not meant for, or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including street, sidewalk, car, park, abandoned building, bus station, airport, or camp ground. • Emergency Shelter A publicly or privately-owned emergency shelter designated to provide temporary living accommodations. • Hotel or Motel paid for by a Charitable Organization or Federal, State, and Local Government Program • Exiting an Institutional Care Facility (i.e., jail, substance abuse treatment facility, mental health treatment facility, hospital, or other similar facility); stay must be 90 days or less and resided in a shelter or place not meant for human habitation before entering the institution. • Transitional Housing A project that is designed to provide housing and appropriate supportive services to homeless persons to facilitate movement to independent living.
Required Documentation for the HCV Homeless Preference Waitlist
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Written observation by a street outreach worker or HARA staff member; OR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Example: “I, staff name, on date observed that client name is residing at/in location description. I have verified that physical description is in this County. In my professional opinion, this is client name’s primary nighttime residence and is a public or private place not meant for human habitation.” - Written letter from emergency shelter provider for emergency shelter verification that denotes date(s) of stay; OR - Written referral by another housing or service provider; OR - Self-certification of homelessness completed by the household (see MSHDA ESG Self-Certification form).



Category 4: Fleeing/Attempting to Flee DV

Any individual or family who is fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, trafficking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against the individual or a family member, that has either taken place in the individual's or family's primary nighttime residence or has made the individual or family afraid to return to their primary or nighttime residence, and they have no other residence.

Required Documentation for the HCV Homeless Preference Waitlist

- Letter from a Domestic Violence Service Provider stating the applicant is fleeing or attempting to flee; **OR**
- Court documentation; **OR**
- Self-certification of homelessness completed by the household (see [MSHDA ESG Self-Certification form](#)).

Pre-approved CoCs and LPBs can also add households to the HCV Homeless Preference waitlist if they are temporarily sheltered with another household (also known as “doubled up”). This is only permitted when a community does not have a homeless shelter available to assist the general population. Annual requests for approval must be made by the CoC or LPB within the ESG Exhibit 1 and submitted to MSHDA for final approval.

Temporary Shelter with Another Household

Individual or family who must vacate their primary nighttime residence, provided that:

- Their primary nighttime residence is with another household; AND
- Residence will be lost within 14 days; AND
- No subsequent residence has been identified and the individual or family lacks the resources or support networks needed to obtain other permanent housing.

Required Documentation for the HCV Homeless Preference Waitlist

- Letter from the host with phone number and address stating the applicant must vacate the residence within 14 days; AND
- HARA verification with the host that all provided host information is true and accurate, the situation described in the letter is true; AND
- A self-certification from the household or HARA staff certification of items in the first two bullets in the definition above.

RECERTIFICATION

Recertification must be completed every 120 days for an applicant to remain on the HCV Homeless Preference waitlist. Updated and current proof of homelessness and proof of residency must be obtained in order to verify the re-certification. Once the documentation is obtained, re-certification can be completed in the applicant portal within 30 days prior to the application expiration.

If an applicant is not recertified within 30 days of the application expiration, the system will automatically remove the applicant on their expiration date. The automatic removal will result in a letter being sent to the applicant's address listed in the portal notifying them of the removal.

If a household that was previously certified as temporarily sheltered with another household and the recertification of homelessness is listed as the same address as the previous application, the HARA is instructed to let the application expire.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION REVIEWS

The HCV Homeless Preference waitlist supporting documentation is reviewed by MSHDA Homeless Solutions staff. In all circumstances, MSHDA and HARA staff should strive to maintain a Homeless Preference waitlist for qualified applicants only. The goal of the review process is to maintain the integrity of this limited resource and ensure that it is being utilized in a fair and consistent manner across the state of Michigan.

Details regarding the reviews are as follows:

- Requests will be made monthly, or as needed, via email to a designated HARA staff person from Homeless Solutions staff.
- Requests will be made for copies of the following information:
 - Consent for the Release of Confidential Information for the HCV Homeless Preference,
 - Proof of residency in the county of application, and
 - Proof of homelessness in the county of application.
- The review will cover completeness of forms, timeliness of applications, county of residence, and ensuring that all necessary components of proof of homelessness have been provided.
- Documentation must be sent to mshda-hs@michigan.gov or faxed to 517-763-0185
- HARA staff will be given a deadline to provide a response or request additional time.
- Failure to respond or request additional time to any request for documentation or clarifying information will result in removal of the applicant(s).

REMOVAL OF AN APPLICANT

- If MSHDA Homeless Solutions staff find that an application to the HCV Homeless Preference Waitlist was insufficiently documented or completed in error, removals will be facilitated through mshda-hs@michigan.gov.
 - HARA staff will be notified of the request and the removal.
 - It is the responsibility of HARA staff to notify the applicant of the removal.
- If HARA staff find that an applicant no longer meets eligibility requirements for any reason, an email should be sent to MSHDA's HCV waitlist staff at mshdawl@michigan.gov to request their removal.
 - This email must include the applicants first name, last name, county of application, waitlist type (homeless), and reason for the removal request.
 - It is the responsibility of the HARA staff to notify the applicant of the removal.
- If HARA staff determine that a previously removed applicant qualifies for homeless preference, HARA staff can obtain the proper documentation then complete a new application at that time.
- If an applicant is not recertified within 30 days of the application expiration, the system will automatically remove the applicant on their expiration date. The automatic removal will result in a letter being sent to the applicant's address listed in the portal notifying them of the removal.

APPLICANT CORRECTIONS

- In the event of a spelling error, corrections should be sent directly from HARA staff to mshdawl@michigan.gov.
 - This email must include the applicant's current incorrect name spelling, and the applicants correct first name, last name, and county of application.
- In the event that an applicant is entered onto an incorrect county, an email should be sent to mshdawl@michigan.gov.
 - This email must include the applicants first name, last name, original county of application, and the correct county of application you are requesting the applicant to be added.
 - This is only allowed when the county of application was an error, not when applicant relocates.

MI-510

Saginaw CoC

1E-1

Local Competition Deadline/Website

SCCHAP FY 2022 COC Competition Timelines

Process/Step	Due Date
Intent to Apply	Tuesday, August 30th by 5pm
Project Application from eSNAPS	Friday September 9th by 5pm
Project Application FINAL uploads in eSNAPS	Friday September 9th
Saginaw City Council Approval	TBD
Scoring/Ranking of Grants	September 12th-14th
Notify Applicants of Results	Thursday, September 15th
Applicant Appeal	Monday, September 19th
Exhibit 1 & Priority Listing in eSNAPS	Friday, September 30th

MI-510

Saginaw CoC

1E-2A

One Scored Project

PROJECT RENEWAL AND PROJECT REALLOCATION SCORING - 2022

SCCMHA

NOTE: Percentages for scoring will not be rounded

PROJECT THRESHOLD FOR NEW AND REALLOCATED PROJECTS – AGENCY CAPACITY – NO POINTS

1. Explain how this project meets HUD criteria
2. Give a brief background which demonstrates your capacity and experience in handling a project of this size
3. Explain how the agency has a commitment to this project
4. How quickly will you have your project up and running at full capacity
5. List key staff responsible for implementing the project and their roles
6. How many beds in your project are dedicated for the Chronically Homeless?
7. How many beds in your project are available for Chronically Homeless through turnover?

NOTE: Use the remainder of this sheet and only one (1) additional sheet to respond to these questions

Total Score
215

1. AGENCY TRACK RECORD - Applies to ALL PROJECTS – 5 Points

	Scoring range and possible points	
1. In the past three (3) years has your agency:		
a. Had any independent financial audits reflecting significant deficiencies	No	2
b. Lost a homeless service contract due to performance	No	1
c. Had to return money <u>spent</u> to the funder	No	1
d. Received notification that your agency is not getting up and running within contract's normal homeless program parameters (slow starter criteria)	No	1

2. FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Value = 20 Points

Reporting period: Most recently completed project year

	Scoring range and possible points	
1. Project Spend Down at Grant Expiration	97.50%	15
2. Housing Services (rent, utilities) to Service Details: Percentage of project budget for housing activities.	100%	5

3. CoC PARTICIPATION

Value = 10 Points

Reporting period: 1/1/2015 – 12/31/2015

	Scoring range and possible points	
1. Attended at least 75% of all full membership meetings based on previous calendar	Yes	4
3. Participated in the Point In Time Count Planning	Yes	1
4. Participated in the Point in Time Count Outreach	Yes	1

4. BONUS POINTS Value = 15 Points		
	Scoring range and possible points	
1. Increased dedicated CH Beds	No	0
2. Increased the number of non-dedicated beds for use by persons experiencing chronic homelessness	No	0
3. Low Barrier Housing	Yes	1
4. Project Reallocation to PSH or RR or TH/RR	No	0
5. Population Served. The CoC acknowledges that agencies serve diverse populations and some outcomes are harder to achieve because of the severity of service needs for those populations. Bonus points are awarded for programs that cater to those hardest to serve with the highest risk factors (no income, substance use disorder, criminal record, DVV, LGBTQ, chronic health, behavioral or mental health challenges or functional impairments requiring significant support) .		
a. Chronically Homeless	Yes	1
b. Families w/Children	Yes	1
c. Youth	No	0
d. Domestic Violence Victims	No	0
e. Veterans	Yes	1

5. HMIS Project Scoring Value = 115 Points		
	Scoring range and possible points	
1. HMIS Policies and Procedures in Place	Yes	15
2. HMIS Governance Charter in Place	Yes	20
3. HIC, PIT reports submitted on time to HUD	Yes	15
4. Bed Coverage meets HUD standards of 86% or higher for inclusion on the HIC	Yes	10
5. Data Quality meets HUD standards as evidenced by data inclusion in the AHAR	Yes	20
6. Required HMIS Reporting Done: APR, AHAR, System Measures, HIC, PIT	Yes	20

TIE BREAKERS		
First Tie Breaker - All Projects EXCEPT Supportive Service Only Grants		
1. Overall Average Occupancy – Project overall average occupancy will be used	100%	
Second Tie Breaker – All Projects		
2. Exits to Permanent Housing	96%	
Third Tie Breaker – All Projects		
3. Project Spend Down – Actual percent of spend down		

HMIS & Project Performance Scorecard		
1. Data Quality Max = 34		22
2. Project Performance Max = 66		58

Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) Quarterly Performance Scorecard

AGENCY NAME:	CMH
PROJECT NAME:	PSH PDP Consolidated 8446
REPORTING PERIOD:	July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022

Scorecard Summary

Rating Category	Maximum Points	Project Score <i>(This section auto-populates as card is completed)</i>
1. HMIS Data Quality	34	22
2. Project Performance	66	58
Total Points	100	80

The purpose of these Scorecards is for SCCHAP CoC-funded projects monitor compliance and performance on a quarterly basis. Scores are generated from reports using ServicePoint, the local HMIS database. Data collected from reports is then entered into the Quarterly Performance Scorecard. Save this as a PDF to submit with your Scorecard. Agencies using a comparable database (e.g., Victim Service Providers) must provide the CoC HMIS Lead Agency documentation on how all data generated follows and matches the same reporting logic.

1. Overview of HMIS Data Quality Questions

- ▶ HMIS participation and data quality are priorities for both SCCHAP and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Accurate, complete and timely data is crucial to determine whether projects are contributing to ending homelessness.

2: Overview of Project Performance Questions

- ▶ Achieving program outcomes is crucial to ensure programs are making an impact and ending homelessness for their program participants.
- ▶ Assessing and monitoring project outcomes is also necessary to understand a program's rate of success and their contribution to meeting performance goals at the CoC level.

3: Scorecard Comments

- ▶ Use this space after completing scorecard to write any comments on your score.

#	Where to find information?	PROJECT ANSWER	Scoring Mechanism	PROJECT SCORE
1: HMIS Data Quality				

<p>1.1 Data Completeness The CoC is monitored by HUD for HMIS data quality including completeness. <i>What is the projects' percentage of completed values on all HUD required data elements for the last quarter ?</i></p>	<p>ServicePoint > ART > Public Folder > Art Gallery Reports and Resources> Art Gallery Reports> 0252 Data Completeness Report Card (EEY18> Tab A - Overall Percentage</p>	<p>99.28%</p>	<p>Percentage of Completed Values</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 99%-100% → 10 pts • 97%-98% → 8 pts • 95%-96% → 6 pts 	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>
<p>1.2 Timely APR Submission Timely submission of APRs to HUD is important for the CoC as it impacts its CoC funding. <i>Did your project submit the last applicable APR within the required period, that is within 90 days of the end of your agency's operating year?</i></p>	<p>To calculate, subtract the Submission Date minus the End of the Operating Year SCCHAP will verify submission date in Sage.</p>		<p>Timely APR Submission</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • APR submitted on time → 6 pts • APR not submitted on time → 0 pts 	<p>6</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>1.3 Timeliness of Data Entry - Project Entry The CoC and CoC-funded projects are monitored by HUD for data quality including timeliness in HMIS. HMIS Policies and Procedures require data to be input within 3 days of interaction with a client. <i>What % of entries were created in 3 days or less during the reporting period?</i></p>	<p>To calculate Rate of Timely Data Entry: 1. (# of Start Records in 3 days or less)/(Total # Start Records) To calculate 1: ServicePoint > Reports > CoC APR, or CoC CAPER> <u>6e Data Quality: Timeliness</u> > Number of Project Start Records</p>	<p>100.00%</p>	<p>Rate of Timely Data Entry = Project Entries:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 91% - 100% → 6 pts • 81% - 90% → 4 pts • 71% - 80% → 2 pts 	<p>6</p>	<p>6</p>

1.4	<p>Timeliness of Data Entry - Project Exit The CoC and CoC-funded projects are monitored by HUD for data quality including timeliness in HMIS. HMIS Policies and Procedures require data to be input within 6 days of interaction with a client.</p> <p><i>What % of exits were created in 6 days or less during the reporting period?</i></p>	<p>To calculate Rate of Timely Data Entry: 1. (# of Exit Records in 6 days or less)/(Total # Exit Records)</p> <p>To calculate 1: ServicePoint > Reports > CoC APR, or CoC CAPER> 6e Data Quality: Timeliness > Number of Project Exit Records</p>	<p>Rate of Timely Data Entry - Project Exits:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 91% - 100% → 6 pts • 81% - 90% → 4 pts • 71% - 80% → 2 pts 	6	0
1.5	<p>Data Quality: Active electronic Release of Information (eROI) Current eROI's consistently updated in our HMIS system are essential for data sharing, and reporting to function properly. All agencies, and HMIS staff have received training on how to complete, and upkeep ROI's in the HMIS system. It is required that all clients have a completed eROI prior to HMIS data entry. Because all Programs also take part in Coordinated Entry.</p>	<p>ServicePoint > ART > Public Folder > Data Quality> Incongruity and Audit > ROI Audit Report - Current Clients (EE) > Calculate the percent of current eROIs</p>	<p>Percent of Active eROIs Completed in HMIS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100% → 6 pts • 95%-99% → 4 pts • 90%-94% → 2 pts 	6	0
2: Project Performance				34	22

<p>2.1 Retention and Successful Housing Placement from PSH Successful housing outcomes are one of the most important measures of program success. <i>What is the percentage of persons that that exited to a permanent housing destination?</i></p>	ServicePoint > Reports > CoC APR , or CoC CAPER> 23c> Percentage of Total Persons Exiting to Positive Housing Destinations, and Number of Stayers	96%	Retention & Successful Housing Placement from PSH <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 91%-100 % → 12 pts • 81%-90 % → 10 pts • 71%-80 % → 8 pt 	12	12
<p>2.2 Returns to Homelessness Reducing returns to homelessness is one of the most important measures of program success.. <i>What is the percentage of persons returning to homelessness from PSH Project?</i></p>	ServicePoint > Reports > CoC APR , or CoC CAPER> 23c> Percentage of Total Persons Exiting to Temporary Destinations	4%	Returns to Homelessness <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0%-9% → 12 pts • 10%-19% → 8 pts • 20%-29% → 4 pts 	12	12
<p>2.3 Income Growth for Stayers Improving someone's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing the person's vulnerability to homelessness. HUD is encouraging CoCs to increase program participants' income through the NOFO and System Performance Measures. <i>What is the percentage of adults who increased total income (earned and non-employment) over the reporting period?</i></p>	ServicePoint > Reports > CoC APR > 19a1> Percentage of Total Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment	63%	Income Growth for Stayers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 81-100 % → 8 pts • 61-80 % → 6 pts • 41-60 % → 4 pt • 21-40 % → 2 pt 	8	6

2.4	<p>Income Growth for Leavers Improving someone's access to financial resources is crucial to reducing the person's vulnerability to homelessness. HUD is encouraging CoCs, through the NOFO and System Performance Measures, to increase program participants' income.</p> <p><i>What is the percentage of adults who increased total income (earned and non-employment) over the quarter?</i></p>	<p>ServicePoint > Reports > CoC APR > 19a2> Percentage of Total Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit</p>	55%	<p><i>Income Growth for Leavers</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 81-100 % → 8 pts • 61-80 % → 6 pts • 41-60 % → 4 pt • 21-40 % → 2 pt 	8	4
2.5	<p>Completed Annual Assessments HUD requires CoC-funded projects to complete Annual Assessments no more than 30 days before or after the anniversary of the client's Project Start Date.</p> <p><i>What percent of the Annual Assessments that were due during the reporting period were completed on time?</i></p>	<p>ServicePoint > ART > Public Folder > Data Quality > Incongruity and Audit > Annual Review Audit Report v5-Calculate the percent of completed annual assessments</p>	96%	<p><i>Percent of Annual Assessments Completed on Time</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100% → 6 pts • 95%-99% → 4 pts • 85%-94% → 2 pts 	6	4

2.6	<p>Bed Utilization Rate Bed utilization is important to ensure we are fully utilizing our inventory. The CoC and individual projects are scored by HUD on this measure in the NOFA, with CoCs that have less than 85% bed utilization not receiving any points.</p> <p><i>What is the project's average bed utilization rate for the reporting period?</i></p>	<p>Bed utilization rate = 1. [Average persons served per night] / 2. [the "total beds" reported in the Project Application]</p> <p>To calculate 1: ServicePoint > Reports (not ART) > CoC APR > 7b - Point in Time Count of Persons on the Last Wednesday > Average the four point in times together to calculate the average number served > (January + April + July + October) / 4 = Average Served</p> <p>2. "Total Beds" is the number of beds in the project's most recent Project Renewal Application to HUD. ECHO can provide you with this number if you need it.</p>	<p>Bed Utilization Rate</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 96-100 % → 8 pts • 91-95 % → 4 pts • 85-90 % → 2 pts 	8	8
2.7	<p>Participation in Coordinated Entry</p> <p>HUD requires all funded programs participate in the local community's Coordinated Entry Process. It is the responsibility of each agency to ensure that all clients enrolled in their program have the appropriate Coordinated Entry Event and Assessments for their Project Type. For PSH Programs all new clients need to have a Referral to PSH Project Resource Opening correctly entered into HMIS.</p>	<p>Agency takes part in Coordinated Entry Project and fills all open program slots through interagency discussions around the By-Name List.</p>	<p>Percent of Clients who Received Referral through CE</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100% → 6 pts • 95%-99% → 4 pts • 90%-94% → 2 pts 	6	6

<p>2.8</p> <p>Low-barrier admission policies Low barrier admission policies are important to prevent screening out people for assistance because of perceived barriers to housing or services. Housing First practices are a requirement of all HUD CoC-funding recipients. The four components are:</p> <p><i>Income: Are participants screened out based on having too little or no income?</i></p> <p><i>Substance Use: Are participants screened out based on an active or history of substance use?</i></p> <p><i>Criminal Record: Are participants screened out based on having a criminal record - with exceptions for HUD-mandated restrictions?</i></p> <p><i>Domestic Violence: Are participants screened out based on history of domestic violence (e.g. lack of a protective order, period of separation from abuser, or law enforcement involvement)?</i></p>	<p>Refer to your housing program eligibility criteria-policies and procedures & answer accordingly. Please attach a copy of the program policy to this scorecard, indicating page where criteria is outlined.</p>	<p><i>Low-barrier admission policies</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program does not screen out on any of the criteria → 6 pts • Program screens out on any combination of the criteria → 0 pts 	<p>6</p>
<p>Total Project Performance</p>			<p>58</p>

MI-510

Saginaw CoC

1E-5

Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Not Applicable. No projects were rejected or reduced.

MI-510

Saginaw CoC

1E-5A

Notification of Projects Accepted

Erin Dunkle-Riley

From: Erin Dunkle-Riley
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 1:12 PM
To: Amanda Johnson
Cc: Dan Streefer; Jayce Ashwill
Subject: CoC Competition Grant Score and Ranking FY22
Attachments: FY 2022 URR Ranking and Scorecard.xlsx

See attached document for the ranking(s) and score(s) of the grant(s) you submitted for the FY22 HUD CoC Competition.

As a reminder the CoC Planning Project is not ranked as part of the HUD competition.

Erin

Erin Riley
Continuum of Care & Community Impact Coordinator

***Become a member of the Homeless Consortium Today.
Check us out on our website at www.scchap.org***

United Way of Saginaw County
100 S. Jefferson Ave., 3rd Floor
Saginaw, MI 48607
Direct Line: (989) 775-0505 ext: 209
Fax: (989) 755-2158
eriley@unitedwaysaginaw.org

Erin Dunkle-Riley

From: Erin Dunkle-Riley
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 1:18 PM
To: Debbie Jones-Burt; Aisleen Morr
Cc: Dan Streeter; Jayce Ashwill
Subject: CoC Competition Grant Score and Ranking FY22
Attachments: FY 22 SCCMHA Ranking and Scorecard.xlsx

See attached document for the ranking(s) and score(s) of the grant(s) you submitted for the FY22 HUD CoC Competition.

As a reminder the CoC Planning Project is not ranked as part of the HUD competition.

Erin

Erin Riley
Continuum of Care & Community Impact Coordinator

***Become a member of the Homeless Consortium Today.
Check us out on our website at www.schcap.org***

United Way of Saginaw County
100 S. Jefferson Ave., 3rd Floor
Saginaw, MI 48607
Direct Line: (989) 775-0505 ext: 209
Fax: (989) 755-2158
eriley@unitedwaysaginaw.org

Erin Dunkle-Riley

From: Erin Dunkle-Riley
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 1:21 PM
To: Mary Ellen Johnson
Cc: Dan Streeter; Jayce Ashwill
Subject: CoC Competition Grant Score and Ranking FY22
Attachments: FY 2022 SCYPC Ranking and Scorecard.xlsx

See attached document for the ranking(s) and score(s) of the grant(s) you submitted for the FY22 HUD CoC Competition.

As a reminder the CoC Planning Project is not ranked as part of the HUD competition

Erin

Erin Riley
Continuum of Care & Community Impact Coordinator

***Become a member of the Homeless Consortium Today.
Check us out on our website at www.scchap.org***

United Way of Saginaw County
100 S. Jefferson Ave., 3rd Floor
Saginaw, MI 48607
Direct Line: (989) 775-0505 ext: 209
Fax: (989) 755-2158
eriley@unitedwaysaginaw.org

Erin Dunkle-Riley

From: Erin Dunkle-Riley
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 1:24 PM
To: Audra Davis; Tracy
Cc: Dan Streeter; Jayce Ashwill
Subject: CoC Competition Grant Score and Ranking FY22
Attachments: FY 2022 UW HMIS Ranking and Scorecard.xlsx

See attached document for the ranking(s) and score(s) of the grant(s) you submitted for the FY22 HUD CoC Competition.

As a reminder the CoC Planning Project is not ranked as part of the HUD competition

Erin

Erin Riley
Continuum of Care & Community Impact Coordinator

***Become a member of the Homeless Consortium Today.
Check us out on our website at www.scchap.org***

United Way of Saginaw County
100 S. Jefferson Ave., 3rd Floor
Saginaw, MI 48607
Direct Line: (989) 775-0505 ext: 209
Fax: (989) 755-2158
eriley@unitedwaysaginaw.org

Erin Dunkle-Riley

From: Erin Dunkle-Riley
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 1:07 PM
To: dfinley@rcoshelter.org
Cc: Dan Streeter; Jayce Ashwill
Subject: CoC Competition Grant Score and Ranking FY22
Attachments: FY 22 RCO Ranking and Scorecard.xlsx

See attached document for the ranking(s) and score(s) of the grant(s) you submitted for the FY22 HUD CoC Competition.

As a reminder the CoC Planning Project is not ranked as part of the HUD competition.

Erin

Erin Riley
Continuum of Care & Community Impact Coordinator

***Become a member of the Homeless Consortium Today.
Check us out on our website at www.scchap.org***

United Way of Saginaw County
100 S. Jefferson Ave., 3rd Floor
Saginaw, MI 48607
Direct Line: (989) 775-0505 ext: 209
Fax: (989) 755-2158
eriley@unitedwaysaginaw.org

Erin Dunkle-Riley

From: Erin Dunkle-Riley
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2022 1:01 PM
To: Amy Roe
Cc: Dan Streeter; Jayce Ashwill
Subject: CoC Competition Grant Score and Ranking FY22
Attachments: FY 22 Mustard Seed Ranking and Scorecard.xlsx

See attached document for the ranking(s) and score(s) of the grant(s) you submitted for the FY22 HUD CoC Competition.

As a reminder the CoC Planning Project is not ranked as part of the HUD competition.

Erin

Erin Riley
Continuum of Care & Community Impact Coordinator

***Become a member of the Homeless Consortium Today.
Check us out on our website at www.scchap.org***

United Way of Saginaw County
100 S. Jefferson Ave., 3rd Floor
Saginaw, MI 48607
Direct Line: (989) 775-0505 ext: 209
Fax: (989) 755-2158
eriley@unitedwaysaginaw.org

Attachment

ARD: \$ 2,116,068.00
 Tier 1: \$ 2,010,265.00
 Tier 2: \$ 105,803.00

Rank	Agency	Project	Project Type	Request Amount	Score
1	United Way of Saginaw County	HMIS	HMIS	\$ 71,852.00	226
2	Underground Railroad Inc.	DVTSH Combo	TH	268,532.00	218
3	Underground Railroad Inc.	Shelter Plus Care for Victims of DV	PSH	63,434.00	215
4	Saginaw County Community Mental Health Authority	PDP Consolidated	PSH	507,347.00	215
5	Restoration Community Outreach	Safe Haven	SH	73,949.00	207
6	Saginaw County Youth Protection Council	Teen Parent Services Transitional Housing	TH	59,373.00	206
7	Mustard Seed	Mustard Seed Plus (A)	PSH	326,088.00	205
8	Underground Railroad Inc.	DVRRHTSH	TH/RRH	190,879.00	202
9	Saginaw County Youth Protection Council	Rapid Re-housing for Homeless Youth Expansion	RRH	157,382.00	201
10	Underground Railroad Inc.	DVRRH	TH	127,058.00	197
11	Restoration Community Outreach	Chronic Homeless Assistance	PSH	161,335.00	197
12	Restoration Community Outreach	Rapid Rehousing*	RRH	13,909.00	194
13	Restoration Community Outreach	Family First	PSH	42,366.00	180
14	Restoration Community Outreach	Transitional Housing	TH	54,512.00	179

*Tie decided by Perm. Housing Dest. Rate

*Tie decided by Perm. Housing Dest. Rate

Tier 1 Total	\$ 2,021,138.00
Tier 2 Total	\$ 96,878.00
Total	\$ 2,118,016.00
CoC	73,451.00

* RCO RRH is a straddle program, part of this is in Tier 1 and part is in Tier 2

Unranked

CoC Planning

United Way of Saginaw County

MI-510
Saginaw CoC

1E-5B
Final Project Scores

ARD: \$ 2,116,068.00
 Tier 1: \$ 2,010,265.00
 Tier 2: \$ 105,803.00

Rank	Agency	Project	Project Type	Request Amount	Score
1	United Way of Saginaw County	HMIS	HMIS	\$ 71,852.00	226
2	Underground Railroad Inc.	DVTSH Combo	TH	268,532.00	218
3	Underground Railroad Inc.	Shelter Plus Care for Victims of DV	PSH	63,434.00	215
4	Saginaw County Community Mental Health Authority	PDP Consolidated	PSH	507,347.00	215
5	Restoration Community Outreach	Safe Haven	SH	73,949.00	207
6	Saginaw County Youth Protection Council	Teen Parent Services Transitional Housing	TH	59,373.00	206
7	Mustard Seed	Mustard Seed Plus (A)	PSH	326,088.00	205
8	Underground Railroad Inc.	DVRR-HTSH	TH/RRH	190,879.00	202
9	Saginaw County Youth Protection Council	Rapid Re-housing for Homeless Youth Expansion	RRH	157,382.00	201
10	Underground Railroad Inc.	DVRRH	TH	127,058.00	197
11	Restoration Community Outreach	Chronic Homeless Assistance	PSH	161,335.00	197
12	Restoration Community Outreach	Rapid Rehousing*	RRH	13,909.00	194
13	Restoration Community Outreach	Family First	PSH	42,366.00	180
14	Restoration Community Outreach	Transitional Housing	TH	54,512.00	179
Tier 1 Total				\$ 2,021,138.00	
Tier 2 Total				\$ 96,878.00	
Total				\$ 2,118,016.00	
CoC				73,451.00	

*Tie decided by Perm. Housing Dest. Rate

*Tie decided by Perm. Housing Dest. Rate

* RCO RRH is a straddle program, part of this is in Tier 1 and part is in Tier 2

Unranked

United Way of Saginaw County

CoC Planning